

United States Patent and Trademark Office

,

UNITED STATES DEPARTS LENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and likademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231. www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/671,540	09/27/2000	Timothy W. King	121117-1000	6703
75	590 05/06/2002			
Edwin S Flores Esq Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP 3000 Thanksgiving Tower			EXAMINER	
			PULLIAM, AMY E	
1601 Elm Stree Dallas, TX 753	<u>-</u>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2002	l O

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/671,540	KING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Amy E Pulliam	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F	ebruary 2002 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18, 23-36 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
	• • •	• •				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/671,540

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the Election, received February 20, 2002.

The examiner acknowledges applicant's traversal to the restriction requirement. The examiner has since combined groups I and III (claims 1-18, 23, 24 and 25-36). However, the examiner maintains the distinction between the microspheres and the method for making the microspheres from the system for delivering a therapeutic agent. As stated previously, the system requires the use of a dispenser while the other claims do not. Furthermore, this distinction would require an undue burden on the examiner because a separate search would be necessary. Therefore, the restriction between groups I/III and group II is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 13, 14, 16-18, and 23-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,643,605 to Cleland *et al.*. Cleland *et al.* disclose methods and compositions for the encapsulation of adjuvants in PLGA microspheres (abstract). First, Cleland *et al.* teach that encapsulation is a method for formulating an

Application/Control Number: 09/671,540

Art Unit: 1615

active agent into a composition useful for controlled release of the active agent. More specifically, Cleland *et al.* teach the PLGA is first dissolved in an organic solvent, such as methylene chloride. A concentrated antigen or adjuvant solution, or dry antigen or adjuvant is added to the polymer solution. An emulsification bath of polyvinyl alcohol is added, followed by a hardening bath to create microspheres, followed by mixing at a high speed. The microspheres can then be dried by methods such as lyophilization, vacuum drying, and fluidized bed drying (c 7, 158 – c 8, 133). Additionally, Cleland *et al.* teach the inclusion of additives such as polyethylene glycol (c 9, 126) and other excipients such as buffers and chelating agents (c 9, 154-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 8-11, 15, and 17are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cleland *et al.* in view of "Improving protein therapeutics with sustained release formulation" by Putney and Burke (Putney).

Cleland et al. are discussed above as dislossing a method of encapsulating peptides and proteins. Cleland et al. do not disclose the use of a stabilizer in their process of microencapsulation.

Application/Control Number: 09/671,540 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

Putney discloses the importance of stabilizers in maintaining protein stability during encapsulation. Putney teaches that stability is necessary because the polymers used for encapsulation are not water soluble, and therefore essentially all microencapsulation processes required exposing the protein to nonaqueous solvents (p 154). Putney further teaches that several approaches can stabilize proteins during emulsion processes. One of these approaches is the inclusion of carrier proteins, such as albumin (p 155). Further, Putney teaches a related method is to maximize the therapeutic protein concentration in the encapsulated solution. Putney teaches that another approach is to add small-molecule osmolytes such as mannitol or trehalose (p 155). Additionally, Putney teaches that a protein is better stabilized if it is encapsulated as a solid rather than in solution.

It is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to improve the teachings of Cleland *et al.* using the teachings of Putney. Cleland *et al.* teaches the process of microencapsulation using polymers and polymer mixtures. Putney teaches that the process of microencapsulating proteins and peptides is greatly improved through stabilization techniques. Putney further gives several examples of successful stabilization processes. The expected result would be an improved microencapusulation technique. Therefore, this invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/671,540

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Cleland et al. in view of US Patent 5,560,438 to Collee et al.. Cleland et al. teach that

more than one encapsulating material can be used in their invention, however, they do

not go on to list possible encapsulating materials. Collee et al. is relied upon for the

teaching that polyethylene glycol is a known encapsulating material (c 5, I 4).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is 703-308-

4710. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-5:00, Alternate Fri

8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592

for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1235.

aep

April 30, 2002

THURMAN K RAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT BYAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 5